A Theoretical Solution to MAP-EM Partial Volume Segmentation of Medical Images
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ABSTRACT: Voxels near tissue borders in medical images contain useful clinical information, but are subject to severe partial volume (PV) effect, which is a major cause of imprecision in quantitative volumetric and texture analysis. When modeling each tissue type as a conditionally independent Gaussian distribution, the tissue mixture fractions in each voxel via the modeled unobservable random processes of the underlying tissue types can be estimated by maximum a posteriori expectation-maximization (MAP-EM) algorithm in an iterative manner. This article presents, based on the assumption that PV effect could be fully described by a tissue mixture model, a theoretical solution to the MAP-EM segmentation algorithm, as opposed to our previous approximation which simplified the posteriori cost function as a quadratic term. It was found out that the theoretically-derived solution existed in a set of high-order nonlinear equations. Despite of the induced computational complexity when seeking for optimum numerical solutions to nonlinear equations, potential gains in robustness, consistency and quantitative precision were noticed. Results from both synthetic digital phantoms and real patient bladder MR images were presented, demonstrating the accuracy and efficiency of the presented theoretical MAP-EM solution. © 2009 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. Int J Imaging Syst Technol, 19, 111–119, 2009; Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com), DOI 10.1002/ima.20187
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I. INTRODUCTION

Image segmentation plays an important role in quantitative analysis of medical images for various clinical applications. Due to the limited imaging spatial resolution, voxels might contain more than one tissue type near the tissue borders, termed partial volume (PV) effect. Traditional hard segmentation algorithms classified each image voxel into one single class, completely ignoring PV effect and potentially yielding noticeable errors in clinical attempts of volumetric analysis, such as in the evaluation of multiple sclerosis with cognitive impairment (Liang et al., 1992; Li et al., 2003). Although soft segmentation algorithms have been developed for addressing PV effect, only limited improvements were observed due to the indirect PV model where the assigned tissue labels were still discrete (Sanjay-Gopal et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 2001; Li et al., 2003).

Considering the insufficient measurements to determine the mixture fractions of different tissue types (Choi et al., 1991; Santiago et al., 1993), Leemput et al. (Leemput et al., 2003) proposed a PV image segmentation algorithm, as an improvement, that directly estimated the tissue components in each voxel via down-sampling. Theoretically, this discrete down-sampling algorithm approaches to a continuous solution after infinite numbers of down-sampling operations, which in practice, however, is not achievable. Toward that end, directly modeling PV effect as tissue mixture fractions inside each voxel, in a continuous space, is desirable. In our previous work (Liang et al., 2003; Eremina et al., 2006), a PV segmentation approach utilizing expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm has been explored trying to simultaneously estimate (1) tissue mixture fractions inside each voxel and (2) statistical model parameters of the image data under the principle of maximum a posteriori (MAP). As such, PV effect was modeled in a continuous mixture space under the constraint of no more than two tissue types (Liang et al., 2003) present in each voxel. Moreover, approximated maximum a posteriori expectation-maximization (MAP-EM) solutions were taken in exchange for less computational complexity (Eremina et al., 2006). In this article, we endeavored to acquire the theoretical MAP-EM solution for more general cases, such that the number of tissues considered for each voxel was extended to three and four. Instead of our approximated MAP-EM solution in a quadratic format, the closed-form theoretical solution existed in a set of nonlinear equations up to fifth-order, where numerical equation-solving methods, like Newton and QR, were employed in our study. Via quantitative performance analysis on the synthetic images, the derived exact solution displayed advantages in (1) consistency between two consecutive EM iterations and (2) robustness to data overflow.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. Section II firstly reviewed the rationale behind MAP-EM algorithm by introducing statistics-based mixture models. Section III fully tabulated the theoretical solution to MAP-EM estimation by discussing different mixture cases, followed by Section IV and V where synthetic computer simulations and patient magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) bladder data were conducted respectively for qualitative evaluation purpose. Finally conclusions were drawn in Section VI.
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this section, MAP-EM segmentation algorithm addressing PV effect is briefly reviewed, based on the assumption that each voxel \( i \) contains up to \( K \) tissue types, each of which shared a certain mixture fraction.

A. Review of MAP-EM Segmentation.

A.1. Image Data Model. It is assumed that the acquired image \( Y \) is represented by a column vector into the form of \( \{ Y_i, i = 1, \ldots, I \} \) where \( I \) denotes the total number of voxels in the image, where subscript \( i \) indexes voxel of interest and \( Y_i \) is an observation of current voxel \( i \) with mean \( \mathbf{Y}_i \) and variance \( \sigma_{ii}^2 \), i.e.,

\[
Y_i = \mathbf{Y}_i + n_i
\]

(1)

Suppose that noise \( \{ n_i, i = 1, \ldots, I \} \) is mutually independent and follows a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and variance \( \sigma_{ii}^2 \), then given statistical means and variances of \( \{ Y_i, i = 1, \ldots, I \} \) and \( \{ n_i, i = 1, \ldots, I \} \) respectively, the conditional probability distribution of image \( Y \) is described as follows,

\[
p(Y | \{ \mathbf{Y}_i \}; \{ \sigma_{ii}^2 \}) = \prod_{i=1}^{I} p(Y_i | \mathbf{Y}_i; \sigma_{ii}^2)
\]

(2)

A.2. Normal Statistics Model. There are probably \( K \) tissue types simultaneously occurring inside each voxel, where the contribution of tissue type \( k \) to observation \( Y_i \) is denoted by \( \{ X_{ik}, i = 1, \ldots, I; k = 1, \ldots, K \} \). It is noted that individual \( X_{ik} \) is also a random variable having mean \( \mathbf{X}_{ik} \) and variance \( \sigma_{ik}^2 \), i.e.,

\[
X_{ik} = \mathbf{X}_{ik} + n_{ik}
\]

(3)

where noise \( \{ n_{ik}, i = 1, \ldots, I; k = 1, \ldots, K \} \) again is assumed to be mutually independent and follows a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and variance \( \sigma_{ik}^2 \). Following the same arguments, we have

\[
p(X | \{ \mathbf{X}_{ik} \}; \{ \sigma_{ik}^2 \}) = \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{k=1}^{K} p(X_{ik} | \mathbf{X}_{ik}; \sigma_{ik}^2)
\]

(4)

As a result, the tissue mixture model associated with each voxel \( Y_i \) by considering the contribution of \( X_{ik} \) is depicted as follows,

\[
Y_i = \sum_{k=1}^{K} X_{ik} n_i = \sum_{k=1}^{K} n_{ik}, \quad \sigma_{ii}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sigma_{ik}^2
\]

(5)

Moreover, \( Z_{ik} \) is assumed to be the mixture fraction of tissue type \( k \) inside \( Y_i \) subject to \( \sum_{k=1}^{K} Z_{ik} = 1 \) and \( 0 \leq Z_{ik} \leq 1 \), and by defining \( \mu_k \) and \( \sigma_k^2 \) as the mean and variance of tissue type \( k \) fully filling in voxel \( Y_i \), we have

\[
X_{ik} = Z_{ik} \mu_k, \quad \sigma_{ik}^2 = Z_{ik} \sigma_k^2
\]

(6)

such that (2) and (4) can be rewritten as:

\[
p(X | \{ \mu_k \}, \{ \sigma_k^2 \}, \{ Z_{ik} \}) = \prod_{i=1}^{I} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k^2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(X_{ik} - Z_{ik} \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2} \right\}
\]

(7)

A.3. EM Framework for Mixture Quantification. In EM framework, each voxel \( Y_i \) is considered as an incomplete observation, while the underlying contribution of each tissue type \( k \), denoted as \( X_{ik} \), is complete while invisible, related to \( Y_i \) via the following conditioning integral,

\[
p(Y_i | \{ \mu_k \}, \{ \sigma_k^2 \}, \{ Z_{ik} \}) = \int_{X_{ik}} p(X_{ik} | \{ \mu_k \}, \{ \sigma_k^2 \}, \{ Z_{ik} \}) dX_{ik}
\]

(8)

A.4. Priori Model for Tissue Mixture Regularization. Maximum-likelihood expectation-maximization (ML-EM) framework is known to yield noisy estimation as the iteration proceeds. Many publications have evicted the strength of MAP-EM by introducing a Markov Random Field (MRF) penalty term to define an a priori distribution for tissue mixture fraction \( Z_{ik} \) around its neighbors, such that ML-EM becomes MAP-EM. Applying a Gibbs model on the MRF framework, the penalty on \( Z_{ik} \) has the general form of

\[
p(Z_{ik} | \{ Z_{ik} \}) = C^{-1} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \beta U(Z_{ik}) \right)
\]

(9)

where \( \{ Z_{ik} \} \) are the surrounding neighbors around \( Z_{ik} \). \( C \) is a normalization constant and \( \beta \) is an adjustable parameter controlling the degree of the penalty. The exponential energy function \( U(\cdot) \) can be written as a quadratic form like

\[
U(Z_{ik}) \sum_{r \in C} w_r (Z_{ik} - Z_{rk})^2
\]

(10)

where \( w_r \) is a weighing factor for regularizing different orders of neighbors.

B. Theoretical Solutions to MAP-EM PV Segmentation. In this section, the theoretical solutions to MAP-EM PV segmentation are given by discussing different tissue mixture cases, i.e., the value of \( K \). The most frequently encountered cases in medical images like MRI are \( K = 2 \) and \( K = 3 \) associated with each voxel, although the total number of tissue types inside the body can be far beyond \( K \). Our proposed MAP-EM estimation approach can be fully described by an expectation step (E-step) and a maximization step (M-step) working in an iterative manner. In what follows, formulas of the theoretically-derived solutions are presented for different cases of \( K = 2 \) and \( K = 3 \) respectively.

B.1. Theoretical Solutions for \( K = 2 \). Beginning with the simplest case of \( K = 2 \), our MAP-EM segmentation algorithm for simultaneously estimating both \( \{ Z_{ik} \} \) and model parameters \( \{ \mu_k, \sigma_k^2 \} \) are summarized as follows.

B.1.1. E-step. Conditional expectation, which is the cost function to be maximized, is shown in (11), subject to \( Z_{i1} + Z_{i2} = 1 \) for voxel \( i \)

\[
Q = Q_1 + Q_2
\]

\[
Q_k = -(1/2) \left\{ \sum \left[ \ln(2\pi) + \ln(Z_{ik} \sigma_k^2) + (Z_{ik} \sigma_k^2)^{-1} \right] \left[ (X_{ik}^{(o)})^2 - 2X_{ik}^{(o)} Z_{ik} \mu_k + Z_{ik} \mu_k^2 \right] + \beta \sum_{r \in C} w_r (Z_{ik} - Z_{rk})^2 \right\}
\]

(11)

and

\[
X_{ik}^{(o)} = E \left[ X_{ik} | Y_i, Z_{ik}^{(o)}, \mu_k^{(o)}, \sigma_k^{(o)} \right]
\]

(12a)
which could be reformatted as a nonlinear equation like
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial m_1(Z_{t1}^{(a+1)} + m_2(Z_{t1}^{(a+1)} + m_3(Z_{t1}^{(a+1)} + m_4(Z_{t1}^{(a+1)} + m_5(Z_{t1}^{(a+1)}) + \text{const} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

where
\[
\begin{align*}
m_1 &= 4 \beta \sigma_1^2 \sigma_1^2 \sum_{r \in R} w_r \\
m_2 &= \mu_1^2 \sigma_1^2 - \mu_2^2 \sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2 \beta \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 \sum_{r \in R} w_r (5 - Z_{t2} + Z_{t1}) \\
m_3 &= 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^2 - 2 \mu_1^2 \sigma_3^2 + 2 \mu_2^3 \sigma_3^2 + 4 \beta \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sum_{r \in R} w_r (2 - Z_{t2} + Z_{t1}) \\
m_4 &= -3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2^2 + \left( \mu_1^2 - (X_t^{(a)})^2 \right) \sigma_2^2 + \left( \mu_2^2 - (X_t^{(a)}) \right) \sigma_2 \\
&+ 2 \sigma_2^2 \sum_{r \in R} w_r (-1 + Z_{t2} - Z_{t1}) \\
m_5 &= \sigma_2^2 + 2 (X_t^{(a)})^2 \\
\text{const} &= -\left( X_t^{(a)} \right)^{2} \sigma_2^2
\end{align*}
\]

To numerically solve this nonlinear equation up to fifth-order with six coefficients, we considered QR factorization method as described in (Anderson et al., 1999) with observable advantage that the obtained numerical solutions were immune to different initialization schemes.

B.2. Theoretical Solutions for K = 3. Following the same arguments as K = 2, theoretical MAP-EM solutions for K = 3 are straightforward except that there are two nonlinear equations up to fifth-order with 16 terms for each.

B.2.1. E-step. Conditional expectation, the cost function to be maximized, is listed as follows
\[
Q = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3
\]

with \( Q_k, k = 1, 2, 3 \) defined by (11) and subject to the condition of \( Z_{t1} + Z_{t2} + Z_{t3} = 1 \).

B.2.2. M-step. Theoretically-derived solutions for \( \{Z_{t1}, Z_{t2}\} \) come from the partial derivations of (16) with respect to two parameters \( \{Z_{t1}, Z_{t2}\} \) individually.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial Z_{t1}} &= \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial Z_{t1}} + \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial Z_{t1}} = 0 \\
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial Z_{t2}} &= \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial Z_{t2}} + \frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial Z_{t2}} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

and
\[
\begin{align*}
m_1(Z_{t1}^{(a+1)} + m_2(Z_{t1}^{(a+1)} + m_3(Z_{t1}^{(a+1)} + m_4(Z_{t1}^{(a+1)} + m_5(Z_{t1}^{(a+1)}) + \text{const} = 0
\end{align*}
\]

where
\[
\begin{align*}
m_1 &= 4 \beta \sigma_1^2 \sigma_1^2 \sum_{r \in R} w_r \\
m_2 &= \mu_1^2 \sigma_1^2 - 2 \beta \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sum_{r \in R} w_r (5 + Z_{t1} - Z_{t3}) \\
m_3 &= 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^2 - 2 \beta \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 + \frac{3}{2} \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sum_{r \in R} w_r (4 + 2 Z_{t1} - 2 Z_{t3}) \\
m_4 &= 10 \beta \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sum_{r \in R} w_r \\
m_5 &= \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^2 - \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sum_{r \in R} w_r (3 + Z_{t1} - Z_{t3}) \\
m_6 &= 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sum_{r \in R} w_r (4 + Z_{t1} - Z_{t3}) \\
m_7 &= 8 \beta \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sum_{r \in R} w_r \\
m_8 &= \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^2 \\
m_9 &= 3 \sigma_1^2 \sigma_3^2 - 2 \beta \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 \sum_{r \in R} w_r (3 + 2 Z_{t1} - 2 Z_{t3}) \\
m_{10} &= -2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 - 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sum_{r \in R} w_r (1 + Z_{t1} - Z_{t3}) \\
m_{11} &= -3 \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 + \sigma_1 \sigma_3 - \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sum_{r \in R} w_r (1 + Z_{t1} - Z_{t3}) \\
m_{12} &= -\sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sum_{r \in R} w_r \\
m_{13} &= \sigma_1 \sigma_3^2 + 2 \sigma_1 \sum_{r \in R} w_r \\
m_{14} &= 2 \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sum_{r \in R} w_r \\
m_{15} &= 2 \beta \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \sum_{r \in R} w_r
\end{align*}
\]

It is noted that \( Z_{t1}^{(a+1)} \) and \( Z_{t2}^{(a+1)} \) are symmetric, playing equally important role in formulations of (18–19). Therefore by interchanging the positions of \( Z_{t1}^{(a+1)} \) and \( Z_{t2}^{(a+1)} \), replacing all the \( \sigma_1 \) with \( \sigma_2 \), all the \( X_t^{(a)} \) with \( X_t^{(a)} \), and all the \( Z_{t1} \) with \( Z_{t2} \), we can get exactly the same polynomial with respect to \( Z_{t2}^{(a+1)} \), which is omitted here for simplicity. In doing so, getting theoretical MAP-EM solution is equivalent to solving these two polynomials of fifth-order simultaneously.

Finally, as the MAP-EM iteration proceeds, convergence is assumed when the following stopping rule is satisfied
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Max} \left( \left| \frac{m_k^{(a+1)} - m_k^{(a)}}{m_k^{(a)}} \right| \right)_{k=1,2,3} \leq \epsilon
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \epsilon \) is predefined thresholds as required by different precision levels.
There exist various numerical methods, which are based on trial and error scheme working in an iterative manner to obtain optimum results subject to predefined error rate. However, no matter what equation-solving method is chosen, we need to provide an initial guess of \{Z_{ik}\}, based on which estimations are updated via feedback or innovation until the final convergence. Compared with \(K = 2\), QR factorization, the best choice of solving one unknown parameters, now is replaced by the well-known Newton’s method for \(K = 3\) because of its simplicity (Kelley et al., 2003). Although Newton’s method is fast, its robustness is impaired by observing the fact that different initialization schemes may lead to different numerical solutions.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, the theoretical solution to MAP-EM segmentation algorithm for \(K = 2\) and \(K = 3\) outlined by (13–15) and (17–19) were tested on various computer simulated phantoms, as a comparison to our quadratic approximations reported in (Eremina et al., 2006).

A. Computer Simulations.

A.1. Phantoms for \(K = 2\) and \(K = 3\). Assume that two tissue types, T1 and T2 with \{\(\mu_1 = 100, \sigma_1^2 = 100\)\} and \{\(\mu_2 = 500, \sigma_2^2 = 300\)\}, are observed in a 2D spatial image domain. More specifically, tissue type T1’s mixture fractions \(\{Z_{11}\}\) gradually decreases from 1 to 0 in the upper part of the image as shown by Figure 1a, while \(\{Z_{22}\}\) vice versa in Figure 1b. A horizontal stripe of 10-pixel wide subject to \(Z_{11} + Z_{22} = 1\) was created to model PV effect. Therefore, a phantom shown by Figure 1c was created by adding two Gaussian distributed random variables together, which is

\[
Y_i = N(Z_{11}\mu_1, Z_{11}\sigma_1^2) + N(Z_{22}\mu_2, Z_{22}\sigma_2^2)
\]

Similarly, a phantom for \(K = 3\) was simulated in Figure 2, such that \{\(\mu_1 = 100, \sigma_1^2 = 100\)\}, \{\(\mu_2 = 500, \sigma_2^2 = 150\)\} and \{\(\mu_3 = 1000, \sigma_3^2 = 200\)\} respectively with PV effect on the tissue border satisfying \(Z_{11} + Z_{22} + Z_{33} = 1\).

As simple and synthetic as Figures 1 and 2, the performance of theoretical MAP-EM solutions can still be fully evaluated in terms of both quantitative accuracy and computational complexity, since each voxel is assumed to be a conditionally independent Gaussian variable regardless of spatial variations.

A.2. Simulations Results.

A.2.1. Quantitative analysis. \{\(\mu_k^{(0)}, \sigma_k^{2(0)}\), \(Z_k^{(0)}\)\} need to be initialized to startup MAP-EM iteration. Simple initialization scheme such as hard thresholding could possibly lead to deviated estimations far from the truth, considering the sensitivity of EM algorithm to the initials. To fully evaluate the estimation performance of both theoretical and quadratic MAP-EM solutions under different initialization schemes, we define three error rates corresponding to \(\{\mu_k, \sigma_k, Z_{ik}\}\) respectively

\[
\epsilon(Z_{ik}) = \frac{\sum_{k} |Z_{ik}^{\text{output}} - Z_{ik}^{\text{true}}|}{I}
\]

\[
\epsilon(\mu_k) = \frac{|\mu_k^{\text{output}} - \mu_k^{\text{true}}|}{|\mu_k^{\text{true}}|}
\]

\[
\epsilon(\sigma_k^2) = \frac{|\sigma_k^{2(\text{output})} - \sigma_k^{2(\text{true})}|}{\sigma_k^{2(\text{true})}}
\]

For \(K = 2\), Table I presented quantitative reports in terms of error rates based on three different initialization schemes.

It is noticed that with accurately given \(\{Z_k^{(0)}\}\), the error rates for \(\{\mu_k^{(0)}, \sigma_k^{2(0)}\), \(Z_k^{(0)}\)\] were obviously smaller than those with accurate \(\{\mu_k^{(0)}, \sigma_k^{2(0)}\)\], taking either theoretical or quadratic solutions. This fully demonstrated the overwhelming role that \(\{Z_k^{(0)}\}\) played in determining the final estimation performance over \(\{\mu_k^{(0)}, \sigma_k^{2(0)}\)\]. For \(K = 3\) where computational complexity was much higher than \(K = 2\), only comparison results corresponding to randomized \(\{\mu_k^{(0)}, \sigma_k^{2(0)}\), \(Z_{ik}^{(0)}\)\] were listed in Table II.

No matter \(K = 2\) or \(K = 3\), it is noticeable that there was no sharp difference in estimation precisions between theoretical and quadratic approximated MAP-EM solutions. More specifically, theoretical solutions perform slightly better than quadratic approximations in estimating \(Z_{ik}\), while comparable to each other in estimating \(\{\mu_k, \sigma_k\}\).

A.2.2. Computational complexity. Although theoretical solution involved nonlinear equations up to fifth-order compared with quadratic approximation, their computational complexities were comparable to each other. As an illustration, both of them were running by C++ code on a PC platform of 2.4 GHz Core(TM)2 CPU and 3.5
GB RAM, and computing time vs. increasing number of EM iterations for segmenting a double-precision image of size 256 $\times$ 256 was recorded in Figure 3. It only took several minutes for running both methods on a real CT image of 512 $\times$ 512 $\times$ 400 array size.

A.2.3. Robustness. Although quadratic approximation significantly simplified the mathematical formulas, its robustness was noticed to be impaired by setting the terms of $\sigma_k^2 = Z_k \sigma_k^2$ in the denominator of (11) as a prior from the former iteration. Two factors accounted for the limited robustness of quadratic approximation during EM iteration: (1) it cannot handle very small $Z_k^{(n)}$ values. Once it occurs, singularity is inevitable, and (2) the estimated $Z_k^{(n)}$ is probably out of the range [0, 1], which becomes unstable for $n$-th iteration somehow. However for theoretical solution, robustness was significantly improved without having to specify the lower-bound of $Z_k^{(n)}$ during iterations. Meanwhile, the chance of overflow was much lower, as illustrated by Figure 4 for $K = 2$. For $K = 3$, the difference almost vanished.

As a concluding remark to this section, some differences between theoretical and quadratic solutions in terms of robustness, estimation precision and computational complexity have been observed when $K = 2$, with their differences becoming invisible as $K$ went beyond two. We attempt to support our conclusions by providing the following interpretations: (1) for $K = 2$, the robustness acquired by QR factorization made theoretical solution immune to the initials, while setting $\sigma_k^2 = Z_k \sigma_k^2$ as a prior from the former iteration in quadratic approximation degraded itself reliable to the $n$-th iteration somehow, and (2) for $K > 2$, such advantage of theoretical solution disappeared as QR factorization was no longer applicable and when replaced by Newton’s method, both theoretical and quadratic-approximated MAP-EM solutions demonstrated comparable numerical performance in many aspects. Therefore if a set of polynomials up to fifth-order of (19) can be accurately solved, theoretical solution could outperform quadratic approximation for $K > 2$ excluding other impacting factors.

B. Bladder MRI Real Data Study.

B.1. Bladder MRI Data. In our study, T2-weighted MRI bladder sessions were performed using a 1.5 Tesla Marconi Edge scanner with 1.5 mm slice thickness, 90° flip angle and 256 $\times$ 256 image...
size. For repetition and echo time parameters, $T_R = 12167\text{ms}$ and $T_E = 96\text{ms}$. Compared to T1-weighted images, the image density of urine or fluid inside the bladder against that of the bladder wall is enhanced in T2-weighted case due to the longer T2 relaxation time. Throughout our experiments, the transverse T2-weighted bladder image was utilized, and one typical case with a large-sized tumor on the right bottom part of bladder was illustrated by Figure 5 with its corresponding image density histogram shown on the right side.

B.2. Initialization Procedure. For real data applications, a more practical initialization strategy was taken to startup EM algorithm considering the fact that poor initials not only increase the time to converge, but also might lead to local optima which would never be steered back. Although the obtained $\{l_k(0), r_k(0), Z_k(0)\}$ would still have certain deviations from their truth, the initialization strategy is considered to be more realistic, efficient and could be summarized into the following steps.

1. Guided by the histogram shown in Figure 5, each voxel $i$ was preassigned a discrete label from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ denoted by $L_i$, which was in proportional to the image densities such that “3” represents the enhanced urine/fluid inside bladder against bladder wall via hard thresholding.

2. Given $\{L_i\}$, $\{\mu_k(0), \sigma_k(0)\}$ were initialized respectively by grouping voxels which belong to the same tissue type $k$. Although $\{\mu_k(0), \sigma_k(0)\}$ obtained in this way could not exactly reflect the true statistics properties, especially for $\sigma_k(0)$, they could still be refined after sufficient EM iterations steered by $Z_k(0)$ which is the most important impacting factor as demonstrated before.

3. The final and crucial step is to initialize $\{Z_k(0)\}$. For each voxel $i$, the number of contributing tissue types $N_i$ was roughly determined via its first- or second-order neighbors. For the purpose of segmenting bladder in MRI where image quality significantly limits the use of large neighboring window size, only eight-connected neighbors were considered in our study, as illustrated by Figure 6. Generally speaking, the neighboring window size varies with different clinical applications. More specifically, it could be extended to second-order neighbors when applied to high-resolution images, like CT. As illustrated in Figure 6a and 6b where numbers 1 to 3 represent different tissue types, voxel $i$ is considered to be mixed up by two and three tissue types respectively by inspecting the tissue labels of its eight-connected neighbors. More specifically, we set $N_i = 2$ for Figure 6a simply because the tissue numbers “1” and “2” were simultaneously observed within the eight-connected neighbors of voxel $i$, and therefore $N_i = 3$ for Figure 6b. Once $\{N_i\}$ have been determined, it is straightforward to initialize $\{Z_k(0)\}$ in such a way that if $N_i = 2$, i.e., voxel $i$ contains mixture of two tissue types, classes “1” and “2” for instance and they are uniformly distributed, then $Z_k(0)$ is defined as the normalized frequency of tissue type $k$ occurring among eight neighbors.

However, double-checking the initially labeled region of enhanced urine/fluid inside bladder lumen led to the findings of pseudo-enhanced (PE) tissues as illustrated in Figure 7, where the densities of the highlighted tissue (actually part of tumor) were enhanced out of the range of normal tissues. The use of tagging agents that have high densities tend to artificially elevate the observed densities of nearby materials toward that of tagged materials, called pseudo-enhancement (PE) according to (Nappi et al., 2007, 2008). More importantly, ignoring PE effect will undoubtedly underestimate the actual size, and distort the shape of tumors because of the incorrect initial labeling, while the utility and effectiveness of PV in developing volume-based geometrical feature analysis like curvature and

![Figure 5](image-url) A T2-weighted bladder data and its corresponding histogram.

![Figure 6](image-url) Illustration of how to determine the number of contributing tissue types inside each voxel, (a) voxel $i$ is mixed by tissue classes 1 and 2 and (b) voxel $i$ is mixed by tissue classes 1, 2, and 3. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]
shape index for the detection of polyps in virtual colonoscopy has been evidenced in (Wang et al., 2008b). What we proposed here to deal with PE is the application of vector quantization (VQ).

As an image processing technique, VQ has received considerable interest and been used in various applications such as image and voice compression and classification, statistic pattern recognition etc. (Gersho et al., 1991). Since each voxel here has only one density value, we have been exploring strategies to reinterpret and reform the bladder MR images to fit the VQ framework. One example is the novel method of grouping each voxel’s 22 neighboring (or even less) voxels to form a 23-dimensional (23D) local density vector and then classifying all the vectors based on the density similarity within certain spatial range (Chen et al., 2000) for CT images. As a result, each voxel is uniquely reinterpreted as a 23D local density vector to catch the local anatomical information. However, classifying the vectors is computationally complex. To reduce the computing burden, principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to the local vector series to determine the dimension of their feature vectors (Chen et al., 2000). By applying the PCA on a large database, we observed that a reasonable dimension of the feature vectors was five, where the summation of the first five principle components’ variances was more than 92% of the total variance. In the implementation, we adapted this VQ strategy to initialize the tissue mixture percentages \( \{Z_{ik}(0)\} \) for the image density ranges which has been pseudo-enhanced due to surrounding enhanced tissue densities.

Therefore, our initialization strategy as stated earlier is improved by incorporating VQ as a two-stage procedure. Inheriting the summarized steps (1–2), three extra steps associated with VQ are merged into step (3) for the purpose of providing more inhomogeneous density information about different tissues under PE.

- For each voxel that is initially labeled as “3” (enhanced urine/liquid inside bladder), its eight neighbors were grouped together for MRI use (compared against 22 in CT scans) as a reinterpreted 8D local density vector, followed by PCA on the density vectors through Eigenvalue decomposition. The first five principle components were chosen to represent the original 8D local density vector. In other words, each of those voxels of class “3” now has a 5D feature vector, where each dimension reflects a distinct principle component.

- Via unsupervised self-adaptive VQ classification (Chen et al., 2000) scheme, the 5D feature vectors of class “3” were further subclassified into 10 small classes, where the number of “10” was determined based on the criterion of maximally distinguishing among the subdivided classes from label “3.” Considering the inhomogeneous MRI density distribution, it is sufficient to claim that the 10 subdivided classes from label “3” best capture the characteristics of enhanced urine/liquid and pseudo-enhanced soft tissues.

- Instead of obtaining a single mean value for class “3,” ten mean values associated with the 10 subdivided class “3,” denoted by \( m_k, k = 1, \ldots, 10 \), were accordingly calculated and sorted in an increasing order. Therefore, 10 labels were assigned to these newly-classified class “3” voxels with a smaller label value corresponding to a smaller value of \( m_k \). More specifically, if we originally labeled the image voxels into classes “1,” “2,” and “3,” then label 3 was subdivided into 10 new classes via VQ quantization, resulting in 12 labels in total as documented in Table III as follows.

The final step is to initially guess \( \{Z_{ik}(0)\} \) subject to \( \sum Z_{ik}(0) = 1 \). We follow roughly the same philosophy as step (3) did that \( \{Z_{ik}(0)\} \) were determined by the number of contributing tissue types \( \{N_i\} \) via its eight-connected neighbors, as well as the occurring frequency of tissue type \( k \) inside current voxel \( i \) among its eight

![Figure 7. Illustration of pseudo-enhancement phenomenon, actually the highlighted area is part of reported tumor. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]](image)

| Table III. Initially classified labels versus sub-classified class labels after VQ. |
|---|---|
| Before VQ | After VQ |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 Enhanced urine/liquid inside bladder | Subdivided class 1 3 |
| Subdivided class 2 4 |
| Subdivided class 3 5 |
| Subdivided class 4 6 |
| Subdivided class 5 7 |
| Subdivided class 6 8 |
| Subdivided class 7 9 |
| Subdivided class 8 10 |
| Subdivided class 9 11 |
neighbors. However, this strategy was only applied to those voxels labeled as “1” or “2.” For class “3” voxels, we treated them slightly differently that its subdivided class labels from “3 to 12” further refined the values of \{Z_{ik}^{(0)}\}, either increasing or decreasing in the sense that, the larger the subdivided class label, the higher the refined \(Z_{ik}^{(0)}\), and vice versa. More specifically, if a voxel originally labeled by “3” and sublabeled by “3” is observed, it is more likely to be a pseudo-enhanced soft tissue compared to the voxel originally labeled by “3” but sub-labeled by “12.” Therefore for the former case of “3–3” combination, assigning more weights to \(Z_{ik}^{(0)}\) while reducing \(Z_{i3}^{(0)}\) proportionally, and altering them reversely for the latter case of “3–12” could effectively overcome PE.

B.3. Segmentation of Bladder and Estimation of PV. Not provided with the ground truth of segmented bladder, both theoretical and quadratic MAP-EM solutions were evaluated from the prospect of maintaining PV. In what follows, original raw images, segmented bladders by both quadratic and theoretical solutions after 50 EM iterations were depicted in Figures 8a–8c respectively, where the estimated PV for segmented bladders were accordingly highlighted in Figures 8b–8c as well.

Simply by visual judgment, we could not tell which one is superior to the other without ground truth. By tracing the decreasing of \(Z_{i3}^{(n)}\) from 1 down to 0 vs. the increasing of PV bladder wall thickness, a profile along vertical direction from the top lesion in Figure 8 was plotted in Figure 9, where we noticed that theoretical solution appeared to provide relatively smoother PV effect.

B.4. Performance Analysis. In this section, performance of theoretical MAP-EM solution was evaluated from two aspects, converging speed and robustness.

Equation (20) was formulated to trace the decreasing of the maximum ratio of class mean difference between \((n + 1)^{th}\) and \(n^{th}\) to the \(n^{th}\), vs. the increasing number of EM-iteration. Toward that end, a curve investigating converging speed was plotted in Figure 10. It is apparent to see that the y-label, the maximum ratio of class mean difference, dramatically dropped to the level no more than 1% after five iterations. Therefore a good set for threshold \(e\) was 0.01 in this case, although iteration could be stopped at any level of accuracy by varying \(e\). Generally speaking, the number of EM iterations fluctuates between 10 and 20 according to different applications.

In terms of robustness, we traced the penalty term \(\beta\) in (11) for \(K = 2\) and (16) for \(K = 3\), which directly controlled the degree of MRF penalty term. The larger the \(\beta\), the better continuity to be expected in the estimated \(Z_{ik}^{(0)}\). We intentionally chose different \(\beta\) values for analyzing the impacts on PV. However, the risk was

![Figure 8. Quadratic versus theoretical MAP-EM solutions in terms of preserving partial volume effect, (a) original T2-weighted raw bladder data, (b) segmented bladder by quadratic MAP-EM solutions, and (c) segmented bladder by theoretical MAP-EM solutions.](image_url)

![Figure 9. The decreasing of tissue mixture percentages of \(Z_{i3}^{(n)}\) from 1 down to 0 versus the increasing of PV layer thickness. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]](image_url)
that when $\beta$ was large enough and therefore dominating in (11) or (16), theoretical MAP-EM solution was going to fail by connecting other tissue regions as a whole (leaking outside bladder lumen). The comparison results were presented in Figure 11 which showed that proper choice of $\beta$ was between $[0, 1]$. Compared to CT scan where better image quality ensures the intensity continuity within spatially-connected voxels, MR images suffer from heavily scattered motion noise including various artifacts like inhomogeneity, which generally demands much lower values of MRF penalty term $\beta$ according to our experiments.

### IV. DISCUSSIONS

In this article, we presented theoretical PV-EM solutions for estimating tissue mixture percentages based on MAP principle and Gaussian mixture model. Through massive validations on both synthetic square phantom as well as T2-weighted bladder MRI, this continuous mixture model is found to be applicable to MRI medical imaging modalities, although the image noise may not strictly follow a Gaussian distribution due to various causes during data acquisition and processing.
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